






# NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR)

## Live Report












22-Feb-2004 8:07:16 AM





There are a total of **1** responses for the selected group from 16-Feb-2004 to 16-Feb-2004.

### 1. Your position













Percent Count Answers		
	100.0%	1/1 Graduate Student
	0.0%	0/1 Post-doc
	0.0%	0/1 Professor
	0.0%	0/1 Staff Scientist
	0.0%	0/1 Other
<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1/1 Summary</b>	

### 2. Your primary instrument (Please use this instrument as the basis for answers to sections 3 and 4)





Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 30m SANS, NG3
	0.0%	0/1 30m SANS, NG7
	0.0%	0/1 8m SANS, NG1
	0.0%	0/1 Reflectometer, horizontal sample geometry, NG7
	0.0%	0/1 Reflectometer, polarized beam option, vertical geometry, NG1
	0.0%	0/1 Disk Chopper Spectrometer, NG4
	0.0%	0/1 Backscattering Spectrometer, NG2
	0.0%	0/1 Spin-Echo Spectrometer, NG5
	0.0%	0/1 Cold Neutron Triple-Axis (SPINS), NG5
	0.0%	0/1 USANS, BT5
	0.0%	0/1 Powder Diffractometer, BT1

	100.0%	1/1 Residual Stress Diffractometer, BT8
	0.0%	0/1 Filter Analyzer Spectrometer (FANS), BT4
	0.0%	0/1 Triple-Axis Spectrometer with polarized beam option, BT2
	0.0%	0/1 Triple-Axis Spectrometer, BT9
<b>100.0%</b>		<b>1/1 Summary</b>





### 3. Please rate the proposal process

1) Ease of proposal submission		3.0/3	
2) Referee reports and PAC comments		3.0/3	
3) Proposal process fairness		3.0/3	
4) Scheduling process following approval		3.0/3	
<b>Legends:</b>  Poor  Adequate  Excellent  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 3			



#### 1) Ease of proposal submission

Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent
<b>100.0%</b>		<b>1/1 Summary</b>
	3.0/3	Overall rating from 1 to 3

#### 2) Referee reports and PAC comments

Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent
<b>100.0%</b>		<b>1/1 Summary</b>
	3.0/3	Overall rating from 1 to 3


#### 3) Proposal process fairness


**Percent Count Answers****4) Scheduling process following approval****Percent Count Answers****4. Please rate the effectiveness of the health physics training**




1) Relevance of computer based training content	3.0/3	
2) Efficiency of computer based training	3.0/3	
3) NCNR Health Physics tour	2.0/3	
4) Discussion/exam review with health physicist	3.0/3	
5) Refresher/Reindoctrination Training	2.0/3	


**Legends:**




- Poor
- Adequate
- Excellent
- Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 3


**1) Relevance of computer based training content****Percent Count Answers**




**100.0% 1/1 Summary**
 3.0/3 Overall rating from 1 to 3
**2) Efficiency of computer based training****Percent Count Answers**


	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent




**100.0% 1/1 Summary**
 3.0/3 Overall rating from 1 to 3
**3) NCNR Health Physics tour****Percent Count Answers**


	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	100.0%	1/1 Adequate
	0.0%	0/1 Excellent

**100.0% 1/1 Summary**
 2.0/3 Overall rating from 1 to 3
**4) Discussion/exam review with health physicist****Percent Count Answers**





	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent

**100.0% 1/1 Summary**
 3.0/3 Overall rating from 1 to 3
**5) Refresher/Reindoctrination Training****Percent Count Answers**


	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	100.0%	1/1 Adequate
	0.0%	0/1 Excellent

**100.0% 1/1 Summary**
 2.0/3 Overall rating from 1 to 3
**5. Please rate the user support facilities**


1) User Laboratory		
--------------------	--	--

facilities		5.0/5	
2) Tools and supplies in support labs		5.0/5	
3) User Offices		5.0/5	
4) NCNR computers for users		5.0/5	
5) Network access for user laptops		5.0/5	
6) Break/snack room facilities		2.0/5	
<b>Legends:</b>  Poor  Adequate  Excellent  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 5			


### 1) User Laboratory facilities




### 2) Tools and supplies in support labs







### 3) User Offices






 5.0/5 Overall rating from 1 to 5


#### 4) NCNR computers for users

Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent
<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1/1 Summary</b>	




 5.0/5 Overall rating from 1 to 5


#### 5) Network access for user laptops

Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent
<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1/1 Summary</b>	









 5.0/5 Overall rating from 1 to 5


#### 6) Break/snack room facilities

Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	100.0%	1/1 Adequate
	0.0%	0/1 Excellent
<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1/1 Summary</b>	


 2.0/5 Overall rating from 1 to 5

### 6. Please rate the following aspects of sample environments


1) Availability of different sample environments	 5.0/5	
2) Quality and reliability of the equipment	 5.0/5	
3) Support from sample environment personnel	 5.0/5	
<b>Legends:</b>  Poor  Adequate  Excellent		

 Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 5


### 1) Availability of different sample environments



### 2) Quality and reliability of the equipment







### 3) Support from sample environment personnel



### 7. What other sample environments would you research benefit from





No response.

### 8. Please rate your primary NCNR instrument





1) Hardware reliability and performance	 5.0/5	
2) Data acquisition software	 5.0/5	
3) Support from		

NCNR staff		5.0/5	
<b>Legends:</b>  Poor  Adequate  Excellent  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 5			





### 1) Hardware reliability and performance

Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent
<b>100.0%</b>		<b>1/1 Summary</b>
	5.0/5 Overall rating from 1 to 5	

### 2) Data acquisition software

Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent
<b>100.0%</b>		<b>1/1 Summary</b>
	5.0/5 Overall rating from 1 to 5	





### 3) Support from NCNR staff

Percent Count Answers		
	0.0%	0/1 Poor
	0.0%	0/1 Adequate
	100.0%	1/1 Excellent
<b>100.0%</b>		<b>1/1 Summary</b>
	5.0/5 Overall rating from 1 to 5	


## 9. Please rate data analysis and visualization software at the NCNR

1) Quality of software		5.0/5	
2) Range of capabilities			




		5.0/5	
3) Assistance from NCNR staff		5.0/5	
<b>Legends:</b>  Poor  Adequate  Excellent  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 5			


### 1) Quality of software



### 2) Range of capabilities



### 3) Assistance from NCNR staff




## 10. What other data analysis tools would your research benefit from

No response.


11. **Please rate to what extent these forms of remote access (would) benefit your research program**

1) Remote viewing of instrument status and data	 3.0/3	
2) Remote control of instrument	 2.0/3	
3) Mail in samples for simple, well defined measurements	 3.0/3	
<b>Legends:</b>  Not for me  Useful  Essential  Overall rating based on the scale from 1 to 3		


**1) Remote viewing of instrument status and data**



**2) Remote control of instrument**



**3) Mail in samples for simple, well defined measurements**



12. **Please list any neutron instruments not currently at the NCNR that would benefit your research program or the community in general.**

No response.

13. **Are there any other comments or suggestions about the NCNR that you would like to add?**

No response.

This survey is powered by [Infopoll - Internet Survey Engine for Business Intelligence](#).